	For Incinerator		Against Incinerator	
traffic	an incine used. Th causing If it was would h	the scheme traffic will actually be reduced. This is because if erator is not built, then the landfill space will continue to be his means that it will become completely filled quickly, therefore the need for the transportation of waste elsewhere. seen that another business was investing locally, perhaps it elp move forward the plans for widening the A10 between lige and Ely.	•	It will increase traffic on the already very congested A10. This is because the incinerator requires an increased 30% of waste transported to it in order to keep up with its demand. This means that waste needs to be brought in from a wider area in order to meet necessary requirement, significantly increasing traffic. Heavy vehicle traffic on nearby residential areas during construction
Health Impacts	impact h	tion <mark>destroys harmful germs and chemicals</mark> and may otherwise nealth.	•	In fact, a recent report by the All Party Parliamentary Group on Air Pollution has found that ultrafine particles released by incineration constitute a significant health hazard. The incineration ash can also be dangerous if it is not managed carefully
Environmental	 There are incineral monitor The UK i We are sexpensive for lands methane 80% of vertical facility, and district in government. 	re very strong environmental protection laws in relation to tion plants and this specific incinerator will be strictly red by the Environmental Agency. is trying to burn fewer fossil fuels and diversify energy mix. Still landfilling about 200,000 tonnes of waste every year: it is see, not very environmentally friendly, and the space available filling will eventually run out. Landfill causes the release of e – a greenhouse gas that is 25x more damaging than CO2. Over waste currently going to landfill could be incinerated at new and it could handle up to 250,000 tonnes per year. government launched target of 15-18% heat generated from neating networks by 2050. This plant would help the nent move towards this target. The new facility could generate green electricity for 63,000 homes.	•	It would be at the edge of the Cambridge green belt and would make this area harder to continue protecting. The national Infrastructure commission published a report in 2021 stating that if waste incineration rates continue to rise and recycling fails to start increasing again, the UK will not reach its net zero target by 2050 as planned. It will increase CO2 emissions which contribute to climate change.
Effectiveness	much lessconstructThe facil resulting	neration produces ash which not only means that it takes up ss space in landfill, but this ash can be used in road ction. Iity will be able to cope with the increasing volume of waste g from the growing population and will provide a source of for the new town to be built on the nearby Barracks site.	•	Incineration discourages recycling and waste reduction and may encourage more waste production. This is because locals see that their waste is being burned for energy instead of recycled after they have gone to the trouble of separating it. It wastes valuable resources that could be recycled. On the waste hierarchy, which shows the order that waste should be treated in terms of the impact on the environment, recycling is seen as a far better option.
Visual/smell impacts	and will the visua Incinera of decor	ave said that they understand the concerns of local residents work to ensure that the site is landscaped in order to reduce al impact. tion provides more control over bad smells because of the lack mposition, so neighbouring cottages will suffer less from ant smells.	•	It would dominate the lowland open fenland, changing the character of the historic environment. Views from miles would be compromised by the 80-metre-tall chimney, including from the tower of Ely Cathedral nearly 9 miles away. This is a unique environment which attracts foreign tourists each year. There is also concern that the site will increase light pollution in the area, something the council has been working to reduce recently.
Location	long and technical application. The area system in the plant main roa	or went and suggested this location for the scheme, which was a didetailed process. Expert officers have also considered all the all matters relevant, leading to their suggestion that the ion for this should be approved. The was also a prownfield site, already with a Waste management implemented there. This within the boundary of the existing site and is next to the ad so no additional land will be required and minor roads in the land be affected.	•	The site would be very close to the new town at the former Barracks and also to Denny Abbey and the Denny Farmland Museum, which is an attractive tourist destination. Neighbouring cottages have also not been offered any compensation for the polluting gases that can be seen from their homes.
Jobs created	Amey claims constructing work locally of	that over 300 new jobs will be created as well as the jobs in the plant. The A10 is already very congested, so being able to cuts commutes. This as well as the operation of the plant will be coost to the local economy.	Abb alor plan	area is very popular with tourists, who come to visit Denny ey, which was occupied by three different monastic orders, ag with the farmland museum. Any jobs produced by the waste at would simply offset jobs lost in the tourist industry as people ose no longer to visit this region due to the impact on the views.